

Practical session – Phylogenetic Network analysis using SplitsTree, Dendroscope and PhyloNet

Celine Scornavacca

January 25th, 2019

1 Phylogenetic networks from distances

Question 1 Using *SplitsTree*, compute the BioNJ tree, the UPGMA tree and the split decomposition network for the following matrix:

a	0	4	5	4
b	4	0	3	4
c	5	3	0	3
d	4	4	3	0

Refer to the tab "Help" → "Network Syntax" → "Distances" to learn how to specify a distance matrix in Nexus format, or use the file `north.nex` in the example folder of *SplitsTree*. How these trees and networks are related? Explore the leftmost tab to see the computed set of splits for each method.

Question 2 Using *SplitsTree*, compute the split decomposition network for the dataset of primate lentiviruses in the file `PLV-split-network.nex`. What does the network tell us? Which are the splits in the split decomposition and what are their weights?

Question 3 Using *SplitsTree*, compute the neighbor-net network for the a data set of 44 Vietnamese wild and domestic chicken populations (see file `chickens.nexus`). Additionally, reconstruct the split decomposition network for the same data. In what way do the two networks differ? Assumed that domestic populations are labeled by HGXX, what the neighbor-net network suggests ?

Question 4 Are the above-cited methods the only distance-based methods available in *SplitsTree*? If not, what are the others? Play around with them, using the data sets of the previous questions.

2 Phylogenetic networks from sequences

Question 5 Using *SplitsTree*, compute the median network for the following binary matrix.

Refer to the tab "Help" → "Network Syntax" → "Characters" to learn how to specify a sequence matrix in Nexus format, or use the file `dolphins_binary.nex` in the example folder of *SplitsTree*.

- a 0000000
- b 0110000
- c 1101100
- d 1110110
- e 0110101

Question 6 Using *SplitsTree*, compute a pruned quasi median network for the matrix contained in the file *lugens-1.txt*. (why a quasi median one?) choosing the option *Label Edges* and the option *Show Haplotypes*. Can you interpret the network? How many vertices are in this network? For how many sequences? Can you guess the main problem when reconstructing (quasi) median networks?

Question 7 While studying the phylogeographic structure of lineages of the fungus *Fusarium graminearum*, scientists discovered that the nuclear 3-O-acetyltransferase gene (*TRI101*) has undergone intragenic recombination in one of the strains. Reconstruct the recombination network from the data set contained in the file *recombNet.txt* using *SplitsTree*. Can you validate this theory? The taxon *O13393* is the outgroup. (The Recombination Network method is well hidden in *SplitsTree*... use *Rectangular Phylogram* as *Layout* method).

Question 8 Are the sequence-based methods we discussed applicable in phylogenomic studies? Are the above-cited methods the only sequence-based methods available in *SplitsTree*? If not, which are the others? Can you translate sequence matrices into distance matrices?

3 Phylogenetic networks from trees

Question 9 For the set of phylogenetic trees on eight yeast species contained in *rokas.nex*, compute three split networks that represent all splits that occur in at least one input tree, in more than 5% of all trees, and in more than 30% of all trees, respectively. (Use the option *edge weights* to obtain readable networks). Additionally, compute the majority consensus tree for these trees. Please describe the networks and the relationships among them.

Question 10 Compute the supernetwork for the set \mathcal{T} of 5 phylogenetic trees contained in *kim1.nex*. Can you interpret this network? What is the Z-closure? Why do we need to use it for this data set but not for the trees of Question 9? Does the network change if we modify the number of runs or apply the refined heuristic?

Question 11 For the data set of Question 10, compute the filtered Z-closure super network based only on splits found in strictly more than two of the gene trees. How does the network change?

Question 12 Now open the software *Dendroscope*. Reroot the trees of Question 9 at the taxon *S. cerevisiae* (Hint: draw all the trees in the same window, select them all, search for the taxon name and reroot). Compute rooted consensus networks with the same thresholds used in Question 9 (use the *Cluster Network Consensus* method). Can you spot the same incongruences? Do the same for the trees of Question 10, rooted at *A. thaliana*.

Question 13 Still in *Dendroscope* and with the trees of Question 9 rooted at *S. cerevisiae*, run both the *Cluster Network Consensus* and the *Galled Network Consensus* methods with

threshold 5%. What do you notice? Can you say why a network is more complicated than the other?

Question 14 The file `Triticeae.txt` contains 225 trees describing the evolutionary relationship among the Triticeae, a tribe of grasses. (Try to) construct a consensus with `threshold=0` with the Cluster Network Consensus or the Galled Network Consensus methods. What do you notice? Play with the threshold to get a reasonable network. Do the same for the file `Triticeae_collapsedAt80.txt`, containing the same trees as the previous file with all branches of less than 80% support have been collapsed. What do you notice?

Question 15 For the two trees in the file `phyBwaxy-trees.txt`, compute their hybridisation network(s). Why do you get several networks and how are they related? Hint: use the tanglegram algorithm to compare them.

4 Phylogenetic networks + ILS

4.1 PhyloNet from trees

A general overview of PhyloNet can be found at <https://wiki.rice.edu/confluence/display/PHYLONET/PhyloNet+3+General+Overview> and a list of all commands can be found at <https://wiki.rice.edu/confluence/display/PHYLONET/List+of+PhyloNet+Commands> (we will mainly focus on the first section of the list).

Question 16 In `commandPhyloNET_Triticeae.txt` you will find an example input for `PhyloNet` to perform some analyses on the data set of Question 14 such as computing the likelihood of a network given the branch lengths of the gene trees or inferring the best network under the parsimony framework. Run it and have a look to the output to understand it. (The output can be found in `out_PhyloNet_0.txt` if needed). Modify the file to run a ML analysis with the maximum number of reticulations equals to 1. Then, modify the file to run a maximum pseudo-likelihood analysis with maximum number of reticulations equals to 1. What do you notice? (The outputs can be found in `out_PhyloNet_1.txt` and `outPhyloNet_2.txt` if needed). What the option `-bl` does? What happens if you remove it from the command to compute the probability of `sn0`? (If you cannot find how to modify the commands using the `PhyloNet` webpage, all the commands required in the exercise are in the file `commandPhyloNET_Triticeae-h.txt`)

Question 17 In `commandPhyloNET_TriticeaeSmall.txt`, you will find a smaller example on which to run the more computationally expensive methods such as computing the likelihood of a network without using the information of branch lengths of the gene trees, inferring the best network under the ML framework or performing a Bayesian estimation of the posterior distribution of phylogenetic networks. (The output can be found in `out_PhyloNet_3.txt` if needed). Use the the Summarization function of `MCMC_GT` on the file `out_PhyloNet_3.txt_part` to evaluate convergence (`MCMC_GT -sum (out_PhyloNet_3.txt_part.txt);`) In the ML analysis, can you asses the topology robustness via parametric bootstrap? (`InferNetwork_ML_Bootstrap (gt1-gt10) 1 -di;` The outputs can be found in `out_PhyloNet_4.txt` if needed). In the Bayesian analysis, can you modify the chain length, the burn-in length and the sample frequency, and use pseudo likelihood instead of full likelihood to get some results in the limited amount of time we have? (via parameters `-cl -bl -sf -pseudo` respectively) ☺

FYI: Scalability (from a talk of Yun Yu):

- Maximum Parsimony: 30 taxa, 3 or 4 reticulations
- Maximum Likelihood: 10 taxa, 2 or 3 reticulations
- Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood: 30 taxa
- Bayesian: 10 taxa, 2 or 3 reticulations

4.2 PhyloNet from sequences

Question 18 *PhyloNet* also permits to reconstruct networks from bi-allelic genetic markers (SNPs, AFLPs, etc). Run command `PhyloNET_dolphins_binary.txt` to perform a maximum likelihood estimation of phylogenetic networks from this kind of data. Understand all the parameters in the command line and look at the output file `out_PhyloNet_5.txt` to learn how to read the output.

Note that, if we had the time (a lot of time 😊), we could have run `MCMC_BiMarkers` to do a Bayesian estimation of networks for biallelic markers.

Unfortunately, we are running out of time and we will not be able to test the command `MCMC_SEQ` which permits the co-estimation of reticulate phylogenies (ILS and hybridization), gene trees, divergence times and population sizes on sequences from multiple independent loci. You can find all the information you need here: https://wiki.rice.edu/confluence/display/PHYLONET/MCMC_SEQ. Note all that a competitor method as been implemented in BEAST and can be run via BEAST2 (with SpeciesNetwork module preinstalled). Your favorite workshops even provide you with a tutorial for it: <http://evomicsorg.wpengine.netdna-cdn.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/tutorial.pdf>

Still, these methods are **very** time consuming and they are applicable only to very few taxa.

4.3 Bonus section: Snaq from trees

Question 19 Use the file `runSnaq.jl` to run a pseudo-likelihood analysis based on quartets on the data set of Question 14 (from Julia, do include(`"runSnaq.jl"`)). Output available in the file `out_Snaq.txt`

A tutorial for Snaq can be found here: <https://github.com/crsl4/PhyloNetworks.jl/wiki>.