de novo assembly

Rayan Chikhi

Pennsylvania State University

Workshop On Genomics - Cesky Krumlov - January 2014

YOUR INSTRUCTOR IS..

- Postdoc at Penn State, USA
- PhD at INRIA / ENS Cachan, France

Research :

- I've developed software and methods for *de novo* assembly of Illumina data :
 - Minia
 - KmerGenie
 - DSK
 - Mapsembler
- I've worked with biologists on assembly projects

@RayanChikhi on Twitter
http://rayan.chikhi.name

QUESTIONS TO THE AUDIENCE

- Already have data to assemble?
- Plans to sequence de novo?
- RNA-Seq?

COURSE STRUCTURE

- Short intro
- Basic definitions
- Fundamentals : understanding why assemblies are as they are
- Metrics : methods to evaluate an assembly
- RNA-Seq : how Trinity works
- Pipelines : pre and post assembly

MOTIVATION

One assembly process, many possible outcomes

- Create a reference genome / assemble a transcriptome
- Just interested in the genes
- Find novel insertions
- Make sense of un-mapped reads
- Discover SNPs on non-model organisms
- Validate breakpoints
- Recover a specific region of interest
- Explore metagenomics

ASSEMBLY DIFFICULTY

DNA assembly is still a difficult problem in 2014.

- 1. High computational resources requirements
- 2. Hard to obtain good assemblies

Conclusions of the GAGE benchmark (2012) : in terms of assembly quality, there is no single best de novo assembler

State of the research

- 1. Data-specific assemblers
- 2. Low-memory assemblers
- 3. Best practices (protocols) papers
- 4. Assembly techniques for other purposes (e.g. variant calling)

Plan

What is a de novo assembly Description Short Exercise

Some useful assembly theory Graphs

> Contigs construction Exercise

How to evaluate an assembly Reference-free metrics Exercise

Assembly software

DNA-seq assembly RNA-seq assembly Definition of an **assembly**

(a trickier question than it seems)

Set of sequences which best approximate the original sequenced material.

SOME ASSEMBLY INTUITION

Simple facts, an assembly is generally :

- smaller than the reference,
- fragmented



SOME ASSEMBLY INTUITION

Simple facts, an assembly is generally :

- smaller than the reference,
- fragmented



SOME ASSEMBLY INTUITION

Simple facts, an assembly is generally :

- smaller than the reference,
- fragmented



ideal world: perfect assembly

> missing reads create gaps

repetitions fragment assemblies and reduce total size

Some vocabulary :

Read Any sequence that comes out of the sequencer Paired read $read_1$, gap ≤ 500 bp, $read_2$ Mate-pair $read_1$, gap ≥ 1 kbp, $read_2$ Single read Unpaired read *k*-mer Any sequence of length *k* Contig gap-less assembled sequence Scaffold sequence which may contain gaps (N)

Exercise

Here is a set of reads :

TACAGT CAGTC AGTCA CAGA

- 1. How many k-mers are in these reads (including duplicates), for k = 3?
- 2. How many distinct k-mers are in these reads?
 - ▶ (i) for k = 2
 - ► (ii) for k = 3
 - (iii) for k = 5
- 3. It appears that these reads come from the (toy) genome TACAGTCAGA. What is the largest *k* such that the set of distinct *k*-mers in the genome is exactly the set of distinct *k*-mers in the reads above ?
- 4. For any value of *k*, is there a mathematical relation between *N*, the number of *k*-mers (incl. duplicates) in a sequence, and *L*, the length of that sequence ?

EXERCISE (SOLUTION)

Here is a set of reads :

TACAGT CAGTC AGTCA CAGA

- 1. How many *k*-mers are in these reads (including duplicates), for k = 3? 12
- 2. How many distinct k-mers are in these reads?
 - ▶ (i) for k = 2, 7
 - (ii) for k = 3, 7
 - (iii) for k = 5, 4
- It appears that these reads come from the (toy) genome TACAGTCAGA. What is the largest k such that the set of distinct k-mers in the genome is exactly the set of distinct k-mers in the reads above ? 3; for k=4, TCAG does not appear in the reads
- 4. For any value of *k*, is there a mathematical relation between *N*, the number of *k*-mers (incl. duplicates) in a sequence, and *L*, the length of that sequence ? N = L k + 1

PLAN

What is a de novo assembly Description Short Exercise

Some useful assembly theory Graphs Contigs construction Exercise

How to evaluate an assembly Reference-free metrics Exercise

Assembly software

DNA-seq assembly RNA-seq assembly

GRAPHS

A graph is a set a nodes and a set of edges (directed or not).



GRAPHS FOR SEQUENCING DATA

Overlaps between reads is the fundamental information used to assemble. Graphs permit to represent these overlaps.

Two different types of graphs for sequencing data are known :

- de Bruijn graphs
- string graphs

Used with Illumina data

Used with PacBio and 454 data

A bioinformatician who knows those graphs will understand :

- how to set the parameters of an assembler
- the type of errors that assemblers make
- why assemblies do not retain variants
- why some heterozygous sites appear twice

DE BRUIJN GRAPHS

A **de Bruijn** graph for a fixed integer *k* :

- 1. **Nodes** = all *k*-mers (*k*-length sub-strings) present in the reads.
- 2. For each (k + 1)-mer x present in the reads, there is an **edge**¹ between the k-mer prefix of x and the k-mer suffix of x.

Exemple for k = 3 and a single read :

ACTG



^{1.} In this lecture, I am using the edge-centric de Bruijn graph definition. The node-centric definition is when edges correspond to exact (k - 1)-overlaps between nodes, and (k + 1)-mers are never considered.

DE BRUIJN GRAPHS

Example for many reads and still k = 3.

ACTG CTGC TGCT

DE BRUIJN GRAPHS : REDUNDANCY

What happens if we add redundancy?

ACTG ACTG CTGC CTGC CTGC TGCT TGCT dBG, k = 3:

ACT \longrightarrow CTG \longrightarrow TGC \longrightarrow GCT

DE BRUIJN GRAPHS : ERRORS

How is a sequencing error (at the end of a read) impacting the de Bruijn graph ?

ACTG
CTGC
CTGA
TGCT

dBG, *k* = 3 :



DE BRUIJN GRAPHS : SNPS

What is the effect of a SNP (or a sequencing error inside a read) on the graph?

AGTCTGA AGTTTGA

dBG, *k* = 3 :



DE BRUIJN GRAPHS : REPEATS

What is the effect of a small repeat on the graph?

ACTG CTGC TGCT GCTG CTGA TGAC dBG, k = 3 :



STRING GRAPHS : OVERLAP GRAPHS

Definition of an **overlap graph**. It is *almost* a string graph.

- 1. Nodes = reads.
- 2. Two nodes are linked by an **edge** if both reads overlap².

Example for k = 3 and a single read :

ACTG

ACTG

^{2.} The definition of overlap is voluntarily fuzzy, there are many possible definitions.

OVERLAP GRAPHS

Given k > 0, we say that *r* and *r'* **overlap** if a suffix of *r* of length l > k is *exactly* a prefix of *r'* of similar length.

Overlap graph for k = 3, ACTGCT CTGCT (overlap of length 5) GCTAA (overlap of length 3)



STRING GRAPHS : OVERLAP GRAPHS

A string graph is obtained from an overlap graph by removing redundancy :

- redundant reads (those fully contained in another read)
- transitively redundant edges(if $a \rightarrow c$ and $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c$, then remove $a \rightarrow c$)

FROM OVERLAP GRAPHS TO STRING GRAPHS

Overlap graph for k = 3,



String graph for k = 3,



The read CTGCT is contained in ACTGCT, so it is redundant

COMPARISON STRING GRAPH / DE BRUIJN GRAPH

On the same example, compare the de Bruijn graph with the string graph :

ACTGCT CTGCTA GCTAA

String graph, k = 3:



de Bruijn graph, k = 3:

ACT \longrightarrow CTG \longrightarrow TGC \longrightarrow GCT \longrightarrow CTA \longrightarrow TAA

STRING GRAPH / DE BRUIJN GRAPH (2)

Let's add an error : ACTGCT CTGATA GCTAAString graph, k = 3 : ACTGCT CTGATA GCTAAGCTAA

de Bruijn graph, k = 3:



STRING GRAPH / DE BRUIJN GRAPH (2)

How to "fix" the string graph ? \rightarrow use a relaxed definition of overlaps. String graph where overlaps may ignore 1 error, k = 3:

ACTGCT -----> CTGATA -----> GCTAA

de Bruijn graph, k = 3 :

 $act \longrightarrow ctg \longrightarrow tgc \longrightarrow gct \longrightarrow cta \longrightarrow taa$ $tga \longrightarrow gat \longrightarrow ata$

STRING GRAPH / DE BRUIJN GRAPH (3)

So, which is better?

- String graphs capture whole read information
- de Bruijn graphs are conceptually simpler :
 - single node length
 - single overlap definition

Historically, string graphs were used for long reads and de Bruijn graphs for short reads.

For raw PacBio data, there are too many indels to input them to a string graph. The solution is to input corrected data.

HOW DOES ONE ASSEMBLE USING A GRAPH?

Assembly in theory

[Nagarajan 09]

Return a path of *minimal length* that traverses each node at least once.



The only solution is GATTACATTACAA.

ASSEMBLY IN PRACTICE

Because of ambiguities and low-coverage region, a single path is almost never found is theory, and is really never found in practice.



Assembly in practice

Return a **set of paths** covering the graph, such that *all possible assemblies* contain these paths.

Solution of the example above

The assembly is the following set of paths :

{ACTGA, TGACC, TGAGTGA, TGAATGA}

CONTIGS CONSTRUCTION

Contigs construction from a graph (de Bruijn or string).

The naive way is to enumerate all *node-disjoint* simple paths.

A simple path is a path where all internal nodes have one out-edge and one in-edge.

Node-disjoint means that two different paths cannot share a node. (Edge-disjoint simple paths also work).

CONTIGS CONSTRUCTION EXAMPLE

dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs :

CONTIGS CONSTRUCTION EXAMPLE

dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs :
dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs : TGCTG

dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs : TGCTG

dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs : TGCTG TGAC

dBG, *k* = 3 :



Contigs : TGCTG TGAC ACT

HOW AN ASSEMBLER WORKS

[Velvet, ABySS, SOAPdenovo, SGA ..]

1) Construct a graph from the reads.



4) Finally, simple paths (i.e. contigs) are returned.

SHORT NOTE ON REVERSE COMPLEMENTS

Because sequencing isn't strand-directed : In assembly, we always identify a read with its reverse complement.E.g : AAA = TTT, ATG = CAT

Exercise

In this exercise, for simplicity, ignore reverse complements. Reads :

TACAGT CAGTC AGTCAG TCAGA

- Construct the de Bruijn graph for k = 3. (Reminder : nodes are k-mers and edges correspond to (k + 1)-mers)
- 2. How many contigs can be created ? (stopping at any branching)
- 3. At which value of k is there a single contig?
- 4. (optional) Find a mathematical relationship between k_a , the smallest value of k for which a genome can be assembled into a single contig, and ℓ_r , the length of the longest exactly repeated substring in that genome.

EXERCISE (SOLUTION)

In this exercise, for simplicity, ignore reverse complements. Reads :

- TACAGT CAGTC AGTCAG TCAGA
- 1. Construct the de Bruijn graph for k = 3. The 3-mers (nodes) are : TAC, ACA, CAG, AGT, GTC, TCA, AGA The 4-mers (edges) are : TACA, ACAG, CAGT, AGTC, GTCA, TCAG, CAGA



- 2. How many contigs can be created ? (stopping at any branching) 3
- 3. At which value of k is there a single contig? 4
- 4. Find a mathematical relationship between k_a , the smallest value of k for which a genome can be assembled into a single contig, and ℓ_r , the length of the longest exactly repeated substring in that genome. $k_a = \ell_r + 1$

PLAN

What is a de novo assembly Description Short Exercise

Some useful assembly theory

Contigs construction Exercise

How to evaluate an assembly Reference-free metrics Exercise

Assembly software

DNA-seq assembly RNA-seq assembly

METRICS

Preamble : There is no trivial total order (i.e. ranking) between assemblies.

- Why? > 2 independent criteria to optimize (e.g., total length, and average size of assembled sequences)
- Example Would you rather have an assembly with good coverage and short contigs, or an assembly with mediocre coverage and long contigs ?

OVERVIEW OF REFERENCE-FREE METRICS

Assume you have no close reference genome available. Metrics serve two purposes :

- 1. Individually evaluate a single assembly
- 2. Compare several assemblies made from different parameters or assemblers

Classical metrics :

- Number of contigs/scaffolds
- Total length of the assembly
- Length of the largest contig/scaffold
- Percentage of gaps in scaffolds ('N')
- N50/NG50 of contigs/scaffolds
- Number of predicted genes
- Number of core genes

An easy tool to compute most of these is QUAST :

./quast.py assembly.fa

Recent assembly metrics are mostly based on :

- internal consistency
- likelihood of an assembly given the reads

[CEGMA]

REFERENCE-FREE METRICS : N50

N50 = Largest contig length at which longer contigs cover 50% of the total **assembly** length NG50 = Largest contig length at which longer contigs cover 50% of the total **genome** length



If you didn't know N50, write down the definition down, there will be an exercise ;)

A practical way to compute N50 :

- Sort contigs by decreasing lengths
- Take the first contig (the largest) : does it cover 50% of the assembly ?
- If yes, its length is the N50 value.
- Else, consider the two largest contigs, do they cover 50%?
- If yes, then the N50 is the length of the second largest contig.
- And so on..

INTERNAL CONSISTENCY

Rarely appears in assembly articles but almost the only way to detect errors in *de novo* assemblies.

Internal consistency : Percentage of paired reads correctly aligned back to the assembly (*happy* pairs).

Can also pinpoint certain misassemblies (mis-joins). Recent tools :

- REAPR³
- FRCurve⁴

[M Hunt, .. (Gen. Biol.) 2013] [F. Vezzi, .. (Plos One) 2013]

^{3.} Google : REAPR assembly

^{4.} Google : FRCurve

INTERNAL CONSISTENCY : EXAMPLE



Hawkeye software

ASSEMBLY LIKELIHOOD (1)

Principle : for an assembly A and a set of reads R,

$$\mathcal{L}(A|R) = P(R|A) = \prod_{i} P(r_i|A)$$

Where each $p(r_i|A)$,

- is the probability that the read r_i is sequenced if the genome was A.
- In practice, $p(r_i|A)$ can be estimated by aligning r_i to the assembly.

Recent software :

- ALE [S. Clark, .. (Bioinf.) 2013]
- CGAL

[A. Rahman, .. (Gen. Biol.) 2013]

- a third one from M. Pop's group

ASSEMBLY LIKELIHOOD (2)

From my exp., ALE is easier to use/faster, but still not fully automated (needs you to pre-align the reads).

./ALE reads_aligned_to_assembly.sam assembly.fa

Returns :

ALE_score: -194582491.814571

ASSEMBLY LIKELIHOOD (3)

Likelihoods of GAGE assemblies of human chromosome 14

Assembler	Likelihood	Number of reads mapped	Coverage (%)	Scaffold N50 (kb)	Contig N50 (kb)
ABySS	-23.44 × 10 ⁸	22096466	82.22	2.1	2
ALLPATHS-LG	-22.77 × 10 ⁸	23122569	97.24	81647	36.5
CABOG	-21.26 × 10 ⁸	23433424	98.32	393	45.3
SOAPdenovo	а	а	98.17	455	14.7
Reference	-19.04 × 10 ⁸	23978017	-	-	-

^a Likelihood not computed as reads could not be mapped with Bowtie 2.

Rahman and Pachter Genome Biology 2013 14:R8 doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-1-r8

(higher likelihood is better)

Likelihood-based metrics are comparative ; i.e. computing them for a single assembly would be meaningless.

ASSEMBLY LIKELIHOOD (4)

ALE can also plot the average likelihood over the genome.



SUMMARY

Google 'assembly uncertainty' for a nice summary, blog post by Lex Nederbragt. In summary :

- No total order for metrics
- Use QUAST
- Use CEGMA
- Try ALE

I am unsure if likelihood-based metrics are very robust indicators, might favor high-coverage assemblies..

Exercise

Here are two assemblies, aligned to the same reference :



- For each, compute the following metrics :
 - Total size of the assembly, N50, NG50 (bp)
 - Coverage (%)
- Which one is better than the other?

EXERCISE (SOLUTION)

Here are two assemblies, aligned to the same reference :



- For each, compute the following metrics :
 - Total size of the assembly (19 bp, 18 bp), N50 (6 bp, 9 bp), NG50 (6 bp, 5 bp)
 - Coverage (%) (90, 90)
- Which one is better than the other? (I would say first one : higher NG50, less contigs, same coverage as the other. But : has some redundancy (maybe a highly heterozygous locus))

PLAN

What is a de novo assembly Description Short Exercise

Some useful assembly theory

Graphs Contigs construction Exercise

How to evaluate an assembly Reference-free metrics Exercise

Assembly software

DNA-seq assembly RNA-seq assembly Tips Exercise

LANDSCAPE OF ASSEMBLERS

- Before the Illumina Hi-Seq : 454 (Newbler), Illumina reads < 100 bp (any de Bruijn graph assembler).
- newer Illumina : 200-500 bp reads (when merged), high coverage, mate pairs : grey area for assembly software.
- PacBio : > 2 kbp reads, low coverage : Gaining momentum for DNA-seq. Do not use a de Bruijn graph assembler. Use any string graph assembler (with pre-assembly error-correction).

PERSONAL EXPERIENCE (FOR ILLUMINA ASSEMBLY)

Your data follows the Broad recipe Allpaths-LG

Small (meta)genome SPAdes

To get a second opinion SOAPdenovo2

If not enough memory Minia

454 Newbler

PacBio Falcon

RNA-Seq Trinity

Large metagenome RayMéta

RNA-SEQ AND ASSEMBLY



Goal : reconstruct mRNA sequences

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY

average mRNA length : 2 kbp varying expression levels alternative splicing





- Short contigs
- Uneven coverage
- Contigs are re-used

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY

Despite these differences, DNA-seq assembly methods apply :

- Construct a de Bruijn graph
- Output contigs
- Allow to re-use the same contig in many different transcripts (new part)

(same as DNA)

(same as DNA)

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY : TRINITY



Quick overview of Trinity steps :

- Inchworm
- Chrysalis
- Butterfly

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY : TRINITY



- Inchworm de Bruijn graph construction, part 1
- Chrysalis de Bruijn graph construction, part 2, then partitioning
- Butterfly Graph traversal using reads, isoforms enumeration

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY : TRINITY - 1

- Inchworm - de Bruijn graph construction, part 1



Using k-mers, construct contigs carelessly.

Contigs might correspond to the most abundant isoform, but no guarantee.

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY : TRINITY - 2

Chrysalis - de Bruijn graph construction, part 2, then reads partitioning



By overlapping Inchworm contigs, construct the true de Bruijn graph.

Then,

Partition the graph and output the reads aligning to each partition.

RNA-SEQ ASSEMBLY : TRINITY - 3

- Butterfly - Graph traversal using reads, isoforms enumeration



Traverse each de Bruijn graph partition to output isoforms

Difference with DNA-seq assembly : isoforms are, by definition, not *k*-mer-disjoint.

ASSEMBLY PIPELINES





INITIAL STEPS

A good assembly is typically done with several pre-correction stages :

- low-quality reads removal
- trimming
- overlapping paired reads merged into single reads



ERROR CORRECTION

Error-correction generally helps improving assemblies.

- Allpaths-LG stand-alone error corrector (highly recommended)
- SOAPdenovo stand-alone corrector
- Quake

In my experience, with high-coverage data, finding optimal parameters for the assembler achieves similar effects as error correction.



The *k* parameter

The optimal k-mer size varies with each dataset.

A few things to keep in mind :

- Low limit : For common genomes sizes (10 Mbp 1 Gbp), there is a high chance that any \approx 12-mer will be repeated in many locations (4¹² = 16 · 10⁶).
- High limit : Read length
- Ideally, you want to set k as high as possible, such that, in the reads, non-erroneous k-mers are present significantly more than erroneous k-mers.
- Practically try at least two k values (e.g. 31, 61).
- My tool KmerGenie can help choose *k*. Jared Simpson's PreQC does pre-assembly quality control.


SCAFFOLDERS

(Not for RNA-Seq) Scaffolding is the step that maps paired reads to contigs to order them.

Most assemblers include a scaffolder (SOAPdenovo2, SGA, ABySS, Velvet, Newbler..).

Scaffolding is where most assembly errors are likely to be made.

For better assemblies, you may try to :

- Use another assembler's scaffolder (SOAPdenovo2's)
- Use a stand-alone scaffolders (e.g. SSPACE, BESST, Bambus 2, Opera, etc..)
- Simply skip scaffolding, sometimes contigs are good enough.

SSPACE is easy to use :

perl SSPACE_Basic_v2.0.pl \

-l small_config_file.txt -s assembly.fa



GAPFILLERS

(Not for RNA-Seq) Gap-filling is the step that fills the gaps inside scaffolds.

Gap-filling can increase contigs length by an order of magnitude. But mistakes may happen at short tandem repeats.

Few assemblers include a gap-filler (SOAPdenovo2, Allpaths-LG).

- SOAPdenovo2 GapCloser can be used standalone, Allpaths' not.
- There exists stand-alone gap-fillers (GapFiller, FinIS), but they have limitations.

GapCloser is quite easy to use :

./GapCloser -b soap_config_file \
-a contigs.fa -o scaffolds

TO CREATE A DRAFT GENOME FROM SHORT READS

My recommendations :

- 1. Sequencing strategies :
 - Broad recipe (many Illumina libraries)
 - PacBio high coverage
- 2. Read either the GAGE paper, GAGE-B (bacterias), Assemblathon 2 (mammalian genomes) or Twitter/blogs (Pacbio assembly)
- 3. Pick one (two is better) assemblers from the papers above
- 4. Run each assembler with several sets of parameters
- 5. Run a program to compare these assemblies

LAST EXERCISE

Reads :

- 1. AGTC
- 2. TCAA
- 3. AATT
- 4. GTCT
- 5. TATT
- 6. TCTA
- 7. TCAA
- 8. TCTA
- 1. Assemble these reads
- 2. What was special about this genome?

LAST EXERCISE (DETAILED SOLUTION)

Step by step :

- Choose an assembly model : de Bruijn graph or string graph
- The reads are short, let's choose the de Bruijn model
- Choose a k-mer size :
- Tempting to use k = 3, as it is the highest value such that (k + 1)-mers exist in the reads. However, to obtain a good assembly, all 4-mers from the sequenced genome need to be seen in the reads. Take for instance the 3-mer CAA, there is no 4-mer starting with it, so one could guess that coverage is insufficient. Hence, let's pick a smaller k, k = 2.
- The **nodes** of the graph are all the distinct 2-mers in the reads : AG, GT, TC, CT, TA, CA, AA, AT, TT, GT
- The **edges** of the graph are all the distinct 3-mers in the reads : AGT, GTC, TCA, CAA, AAT, ATT, TCT, TAT, CTA
- Those last two pieces of information are sufficient to draw the graph :



LAST EXERCISE (DETAILED SOLUTION)

Just out of curiosity, let's draw the de Bruijn graph for k = 3:

- The **nodes** of the graph are all the distinct 3-mers : AGT, GTC, TCA, CAA, AAT, ATT, TCT, TAT, CTA
- The **edges** of the graph are all the distinct 4-mers (here, the distinct reads) : AGTC. TCAA, AATT, GTCT, TATT, TCTA
- We have less edges than nodes, so clearly the read coverage is not sufficient for the graph to be connected.



LAST EXERCISE (DETAILED SOLUTION)



- To assemble this graph, using the contigs construction used before, there would be 4 contigs. Depending on where one includes branching k-mers (TC, AT) in contigs, a possible solution is : AGTC, CTA, CAA, ATT.
- But we can actually do better. There are two ways to traverse this graph, yielding an assembly of two haplotypes : AGTCAATT AGTCTATT
- This could be a tiny diploid genome with an heterozygous SNP. The bubble is unlikely to be a sequencing error, as I have purposely added reads 7 and 8, to have larger coverage in both paths of the bubble. If it was a sequencing error, one of the paths would typically have low coverage.
- An assembler would collapse this bubble and output only one of the two paths.

CONCLUSION, WHAT WE HAVE SEEN

- What is a good assembly?
 - No total order
 - Main metrics : N50, coverage, accuracy
 - Use QUAST
- How are assemblies made?
 - Typically, using a de Bruijn graph or a string graph.
 - Errors and small variants are removed from the graph.
 - Contigs are just simple paths from the graph.
- Assembly software
 - Recommended software for Illumina data : SPAdes (small genomes), Allpaths-LG
 - Plethora of other software for custom needs : Minia for low-memory, SGA for very accurate assembly, etc..
- A few tips
 - Try many k values
 - Try a different assembler
 - An assembly is not the absolute truth, it is a mostly complete, generally fragmented and mostly accurate hypothesis